RELEASED IN RESPONSE TO FOIA LAWSUIT October 15, 2004

Message SECRET Page 1 of 4

Caproni, Valerie E. (Div09) (FBI)

From: HARRINGTON, T J (Div13) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2004 6:34 PM
To: Caproni, Valerie E. (Div09) (FBI)
Cc: BALD, GARY M (Div13) (FBI), PISTOLE, JOHN S (Div00) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Instructions to GTMO interrogators

SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN
RECORD 315N-MM-C89102

FYI we are doing a physical search of our control files to see if we have anything else in writing Tom

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2004 12:26 PM
To: HARRINGTON, T J. (Div13) (FBI)
Cc: BATTLE, FRANCE (Div13) (FBI) [redacted] (IR) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI), [redacted] (Div13) (FBI), [redacted] (Div13) (FBI)
Subject: Instructions to GTMO interrogators.

SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN
RECORD 315N-MM-C89102

TJ,

[redacted]

DETAINEES-1373

5/11/2004 SECRET 1386

RELEASED IN RESPONSE TO FOIA LAWSUIT November 23, 2004

Message SECRET Page 1 of 3

[redacted] (IR) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2004 12:26 PM
To: HARRINGTON, T J. (Div13) (FBI)
Cc: BATTLE, FRANKIE (Div13) (FBI) [redacted] (IR) (FBI) [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); CUMMINGS, ARTHUR M. (Div13) (FBI)
Subject: Instructions to GTMO interrogators.

SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN
RECORD 315N-MM-C89102

TJ,

I will have to do some digging into old files [redacted] We did advise each supervisor that work in this area to stay in line with Bureau policy and not deviate from that [redacted] I went to GTMO with [redacted] early on and we discussed the effectiveness [redacted] with the SSA. We (BAU and ITOS1) had also met with General's Dunlevey & Miller explaining our position (Law Enforcement techniques) vs. DoD. Both agreed the Bureau has their way of doing business and DoD has their marching orders from the Sec Def. Although the two techniques differed drastically, both Generals believed they had a job to accomplish. It was our mission to gather critical intelligence and evidence [redacted] in furtherance of FBI cases. In my weekly meetings with DOJ we often discussed techniques and how they were not effective or producing Intel that was reliable. [redacted] SES1, [redacted] SES, [redacted] (now SES [redacted] at the time) and [redacted] (SES Appointee) all from DOJ Criminal Division attended meetings with FBI. We all agreed [redacted] were going to be an issue in the military commission cases. I know [redacted] brought this to the attention of [redacted]

One specific example was [redacted] Once the Bureau provide DoD with the findings [redacted] they wanted to pursue expeditiously their methods to get "more out of him" [redacted] We were given a so called deadline to use our traditional methods. Once our timeline [redacted] was up [redacted] took the reigns. We stepped out of the picture and [redacted] FBI did not participate at the direction of myself [redacted] and BAU UC [redacted] We would receive IIRs on the results of the process. (S)

I went to GTMO on one occasion to specifically address the information coming from [redacted] We (DoD 3 Star Geoff Miller, FBI, CITF [redacted] etc) had a VTC with the Pentagon Detainee Policy Committee. During this VTC I voiced concerns that the intel produced was nothing more than what FBI got using simple investigative techniques (following the trail of the detainee in and out of the US compared to the trail of [redacted] was providing [redacted] portion of the briefing [redacted] was present at the Pentagon side of the VTC. After allowing [redacted] to produce nothing, I finally voiced my opinion concerning the information. The conversations were somewhat heated [redacted] agreed with me. [redacted] finally admitted the information was the same info the Bureau obtained. It still did not prevent them from continuing the [redacted] methods". DOJ was with me at GTMO [redacted] during that time.

Bottom line is FBI personnel have not been involved in any methods of interrogation that deviate from our policy. The specific guidance we have given has always been no Miranda, otherwise, follow FBI/DOJ policy just as you would in your field office. Use common sense. Utilize our methods that are proven (Reed school, etc).

If you would like to call me to discuss this on the telephone I can be reached at [redacted]

-----Original Message-----

9/26/2004 SECRET

DETAINEES-2709

3131

RELEASED TO SENATOR LEVIN March 18, 2005

03/18/05 FRI 19:18 FAX 003

Message SECRET Page 1 of 3

[redacted] (IR) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2004 12:26 PM
To: HARRINGTON, T J. (Div13) (FBI)
Cc: BATTLE, FRANKIE (Div13) (FBI) [redacted] (IR) (FBI) [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); CUMMINGS, ARTHUR M. (Div13) (FBI)
Subject: Instructions to GTMO interrogators.

SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN
RECORD 315N-MM-C89102

TJ,

I will have to do some digging into old files (to see if we specifically told our personnel, in writing, to not deviate from Bureau policy). We did advise each supervisor that went to GTMO to stay in line with Bureau policy and not deviate from that (as well as made them aware of some of the issues regarding DoD techniques). I went to GTMO with Andy Arena early on and we discussed the effectiveness (or lack there of) of the DoD techniques with the SSA. We (BAU and ITOS1) had also met with General's Dunlevey & Miller explaining our position (Law Enforcement techniques) vs. DoD. Both agreed the Bureau has their way of doing business and DoD has their marching orders from the Sec Def. Although the two techniques differed drastically, both Generals believed they had a job to accomplish. It was our mission to gather critical intelligence and evidence (that could be used in a DoD court of law) in furtherance of FBI cases. In my weekly meetings with DOJ we often discussed DoD techniques and how they were not effective or producing Intel that was reliable. Bruce Swartz (SES), Dave Nahmias (SES), Laura Parsky (now SES, GS15 at the time) and Alice Fisher (SES Appointee) all from DOJ Criminal Division attended meetings with FBI. We all agreed DoD tactics were going to be an issue in the military commission cases. I know Mr. Swartz brought this to the attention of DoD OGC.

One specific example was [redacted] Once the Bureau provide DoD with the findings [redacted] and other connections to [redacted] at an [redacted] they wanted to pursue expeditiously their methods to get "more out of him" [redacted] We were given a so called deadline to use our traditional methods. Once our timeline (that DoD put into place) was up, DoD took the reigns. We stepped out of the picture and DoD ran the operation against [redacted] FBI did not participate at the direction of myself, Andy Arena and BAU UC [redacted] We would receive IIRs on the results of the process. (S)

I went to GTMO on one occasion to specifically address the information coming from the IIRs produced by DoD re [redacted] We (DoD 3 Star Geoff Miller, FBI, CITF [redacted] etc) had a VTC with the Pentagon Detainee Policy Committee. During this VTC I voiced concerns that the intel produced was nothing more than what FBI got using simple investigative techniques (following the trail of the detainee in and out of the US compared to the trail of [redacted] based on classified info from the Pentborm investigation). Lt. Col [redacted] was providing the DoD portion of the briefing [redacted] was present at the Pentagon side of the VTC. After allowing DoD (Lt. Col [redacted]) to produce nothing, I finally voiced my opinion concerning the information. The conversations were somewhat heated [redacted] agreed with me. DoD finally admitted the information was the same info the Bureau obtained. It still did not prevent them from continuing the "DoD methods". DOJ was with me at GTMO (Dave Nahmias) during that time.

Bottom line is FBI personnel have not been involved in any methods of interrogation that deviate from our policy. The specific guidance we have always been no Miranda, otherwise, follow FBI/DOJ policy just as you would in your field office. Use common sense. Utilize our methods that are proven (Reed school, etc).

If you would like to call me to discuss this on the telephone I can be reached at [redacted]

-----Original Message-----

9/26/2004 SECRET

DETAINEES-2709